

410276

UNCLASSIFIED

DD 1 JAN 7: 1473

AFOSR-TR- 79-0251

A GAUSSIAN APPROXIMATION TO THE DISTRIBUTION OF SAMPLE VARIANCE FOR NONNORMAL POPULATIONS

by

Govind S. Mudholkar* and Madhusudan C. Trivedi University of Rochester Pennwalt Corporation

ABSTRACT

A Gaussian approximation to the distribution of sample variance using Wilson-Hilferty [12] approach is developed. It is studied for accuracy and compared with the well known approximations due to Box [2] and Roy and Tiku [8] by taking the exponential, the double exponential, the uniform, the product normal and various mixtures of normal distributions as the parent populations. The Wilson-Hilferty approximation which can be used for probabilities as well as percentiles is seen to compare favorably with the other two approximations.

Key Words: Gaussian Approximation, Sample Variance, Nonnormal Parent Populations.

*Research supported in part by the Air Force Office of the Scientific Research, Air Force Systems Command, USAF under Grant No. AFOSR-77-3360. The United States Government is authorized to reproduce and distribute reprints for Governmental purposes notwithstanding any copyright notation hereon.

AIR FORCE OFFICE OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH (AFSC)
NOTICE OF TRANSMITTAL TO DDC
This technical report has been reviewed and 1s
approved for public release IAW AFR 190-12 (7b).
Distribution is unlimited.
A. D. BLOSE

Technical Information Officer

79

03

AFOSR-TR. 79-0251

1. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

Let X_1 , X_2 , ..., X_n be a sample from F. Let $\overline{X} = \Sigma X_1/n$ and $S^2 = \Sigma (X_1 - \overline{X})^2$. S^2 is a very commonly encountered statistic but its exact distribution is generally intractable except in a few cases such as a normal parent population or a mixture of normal populations. If F is a mixture of two normal populations differing only in means then Hyrenious [3] gives the exact distribution of S^2 as a binomial mixture of noncentral chisquare distributions. On the other hand if F is a mixture of two normal distributions with common mean but different variances then S^2 can be shown (see Appendix) to be distributed according to a binomial mixture of quadratic form distributions. The distribution of S^2 is otherwise unavailable but a number of approximations for it are known. The prominent among these are the scaled chisquare approximation due to Box [2] and the Laguerre polynomial series approximation by Roy and Tiku [8], which are as follows:

The Box Approximation. Box, in 1953, suggested approximating the distribution of $Y = S^2/C_2$, $C_2 = Var(X)$, by a scaled chisquare variate in which the parameters are obtained by using the first two moments. Specifically,

$$\Pr(Y \le t) \approx \frac{1}{\Gamma(b)\rho} b \int_0^t y^{b-1} e^{-y/\rho} dy, \qquad (1.1)$$

where $\rho = Var(Y)/m$, $b = m/\rho$, and m = E(Y) = n-1.

The Roy and Tiku Approximation. Roy and Tiku, in 1962, suggested use of Laguerre polynomials to derive a series approximation for the distribution of $Y = S^2/(2C_2)$. They proposed,

$$\Pr(Y \le t) \approx \int_{0}^{t} P_{m}(y) \sum_{j=0}^{k} a_{j}^{(m)} L_{j}^{(m)}(y) dy,$$
 (1.2)

where
$$P_m(y) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(m)} y^{m-1} e^{-y}, y \ge 0$$
,

$$L_{j}^{(m)}(y) = \frac{1}{j!} \sum_{i=0}^{j} {j \choose i} (-y)^{i} \Gamma(m+j) / \Gamma(m+i),$$
 (1.3)

is a Laguerre polynomial of degree j, $j \ge 0$, m = E(Y), k = number of terms in the approximation, and a_j are constants determined by using the first j moments. Actually,

$$a_{j}^{(m)} = \Gamma(m) \sum_{i=0}^{j} {j \choose i} E(-Y)^{i} / \Gamma(m+i). \qquad (1.4)$$

Tan and Wong [11] show that the Roy and Tiku approximation can yield very unreasonable results in case of a very nonnormal parent population such as the exponential, the double exponential, or the product normal distribution. They also examine the two approximations and an alternative series approximation introduced by them in some detail when F is a mixture of two normal distributions with a common variance and different means. They find that the Roy and Tiku approximation and their alternative series approximation are superior to the Box approximation. It may be noted that neither the Roy-Tiku nor the Tan-Wong series approximations are very convenient for approximating percentiles.

In this paper the approach of E. Wilson and M. Hilferty [12] to approximating a chisquare distribution, which was later extended by Sankaran [9] and by Jensen and Solomon [5] to other cases, is adapted for developing a Gaussian approximation for S². The new approximation is presented in section 2. In section 3, this approximation is compared with the approximations due to Box [2] and Roy and Tiku [8] over a spectrum of parent populations, namely, various mixtures of normal distributions, the exponential, the double exponential, the uniform, and the product normal populations. The conclusions of the numerical study are summarized in section 4. The Wilson-Hilferty approximation is found to yield a reasonably good and generally superior approximation.

2. THE WILSON-HILFERTY APPROXIMATION

Given a nonnegative random variable Y the Wilson-Hilferty approach consists in obtaining an almost symmetrically distributed power Y^h of Y and approximating it by a Gaussian random variable. This reasoning may be attributed to Sankaran [9] who taking a cue from the Wilson-Hilferty approximation for a chisquare distribution developed an approximation for the noncentral chisquare distribution. It was further abstracted and extended to central and noncentral quadratic form distributions by Jensen and Solomon [5]. It may be summarized as follows.

Let κ_1 , κ_2 , ... denote the cumulants of Y and let $\phi_r = \kappa_r/\kappa_1$, r = 2,3,... be bounded. Then by using the Taylor expansion we get,

$$\mu_1(h) = 1 + \frac{h(h-1)\phi_2}{2\kappa_1} + \frac{h(h-1)(h-2)}{24\kappa_1^2} [4\phi_3 + 3(h-3)\phi_2^2] + 0(\kappa_1^{-3})$$
 (2.1)

From this the rth moment $\mu_r^*(h) = E[(Y/\kappa_1)^h]^r$ is obtained by substituting rh for h. Simple computations then yield the following series expressions for these moments in terms of the powers of $(\kappa_1)^{-1}$ as follows.

$$\mu_{2}(h) = \frac{h^{2}\phi_{2}}{\kappa_{1}} + \frac{h^{2}(h-1)}{2\kappa_{1}^{2}} \left[2\phi_{3} + (3h-5)\phi_{2}^{2}\right] + O(\kappa_{1}^{-3}), \qquad (2.2)$$

$$\mu_3(h) = \frac{h^3}{\kappa_1^2} \left[\phi_3 + 3(h-1)\phi_2^2 \right] + o(\kappa_1^{-3}),$$
 (2.3)

$$\mu_4(h) = 3h^4\phi_2^2/\kappa_1^2 + O(\kappa_1^{-3}).$$
 (2.4)

The exponent $h = h_o$ which approximately symmetrizes Y obtained by equating the leading term of $\mu_3(h)$ to zero is, therefore,

$$h_0 = 1 - \kappa_1 \kappa_3/3\kappa_2^2$$
 (2.5)

 $(Y/\kappa_1)^{h_0}$ may now be approximated by the normal distribution with mean $\mu(h_0)$ and variance $\sigma^2(h_0) = \mu_2(h_0)$ given by (2.1) and (2.2) respectively.

Now let X_1 , X_2 , ..., X_n be the random sample of size n from a population F with finite cumulants C_1 , C_2 , Then it is well known (Kendall and Stuart page 290 [6]) that the cumulants κ_r , r=1,2,3 of $Y=S^2/\sigma^2$ ($\sigma^2=C_2$) are,

$$\kappa_{1} = (n-1)$$

$$\kappa_{2} = (n-1)^{2} \left[c_{4} / (n\sigma^{4}) + 2 / (n-1) \right]$$

$$\kappa_{3} = (n-1)^{3} \left[c_{6} / n^{2} + 12c_{4}c_{2} / (n(n-1)) + 4(n-2)c_{3}^{2} / (n(n-1)) + 8c_{2}^{3} / (n-1)^{2} \right] / \sigma^{6}.$$
(2.6)

It is easy to see that in this case $\phi_r = \kappa_r/\kappa_1$ are bounded and the Wilson-Hilferty approach is applicable. The exponent h_o is then obtained by (2.5) and $\mu(h_o)$ and $\sigma^2(h_o) = \mu_2(h_o)$ as described in (2.1) and (2.2) respectively. The resulting approximation to the distribution function of S^2 is then given by,

$$\Pr(S^{2} \leq t) \approx \Phi[\{(t/\kappa_{1})^{h_{0}} - \mu(h_{0})\}/\sigma(h_{0})]. \tag{2.7}$$

The corresponding approximation to the α^{th} percentile of S^2 is,

$$s_{\alpha}^{2} \approx \kappa_{1} [z_{\alpha}^{\sigma}(h_{o}) + \mu(h_{o})]^{1/h_{o}}$$
 (2.8)

where Z_{α} is the α^{th} percentile of standard normal distribution.

3. NUMERICAL COMPARISONS

This section contains numerical comparisons of the Wilson-Hilferty approximation for the distribution of S² with the scaled chisquare approximation due to Box [2] and the Laguerre polynomial series approximation due to Roy and Tiku [8]. The comparisons are made by either computing or simulating the true distributions of S² of samples from various nonnormal populations as described below.

3a. Mixture of Normal Distributions

<u>Case 1</u>. Let X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n be a random sample of size n from a population with p.d.f.

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = pN(\mu_1, \sigma^2) + (1-p)N(\mu_2, \sigma^2), \tag{3.1}$$
 where $0 \le p \le 1$, $\sigma^2 > 0$, $-\infty < \mu_1, \mu_2 < \infty$ and $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ denotes the normal density function with mean μ and variance σ^2 . Then Hyrenius [3] has shown that,

$$\Pr(S^2/\sigma^2 \le t) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} {n \choose i} p^i (1-p)^{n-i} \Pr(\chi_{n-1}^2(\lambda_i) \le t),$$
 (3.2)

where $\chi_{n-1}^{2}(\lambda_{i})$ denotes the noncentral chisquare variable with n-1 degrees of freedom and the noncentrality parameter $\lambda_{i} = i(n-i)(\mu_{1} - \mu_{2})^{2}/(n\sigma^{2})$. A selection of the values of the exact c.d.f., computed using (3.2) and the IMSL subroutine MDCH, together with the errors of the three approximations computed according to (1.1), (1.2), and (2.7) appear in Table 1.

Case 2. Let X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n be a random sample of size n from a population with p.d.f.

$$f(x) = pN(\mu, \sigma_1^2) + (1-p)N(\mu, \sigma_2^2),$$
 (3.3)

where $0 \le p \le 1$, $\sigma_1^2 > 0$, $\sigma_2^2 > 0$, $-\infty < \mu < \infty$, and N(μ , σ^2) denotes a normal density function with mean μ and variance σ^2 . Then it is shown in Appendix that,

$$\Pr(S^{2} \leq t) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} {n \choose i} p^{i} (1-p)^{n-i} \Pr(\sum_{j} {\lambda_{j}}^{v} \leq t), \qquad (3.4)$$

where as described in Appendix $\sum_{j} \lambda_{j} Y_{j}$ is a quadratic form in independently distributed normal variables. A selection of the values of the exact c.d.f. computed using (3.4) and the subroutine FQUAD [7] prepared from the technique derived by Imhof [4] and the errors of three approximations appear in Table 2.

3b. Other Nonnormal Populations

The other nonnormal populations used for the comparisons are

(i) uniform, (ii) exponential, (iii) product normal, and (iv) double exponential. The exact distributions of the sample variances from these populations are not available. Therefore, the c.d.f.'s are estimated from the following Monte Carlo experiments.

Using the generator RANDU, supported by the Digital Equipment Corporation on PDP 11/70 computers, to generate U(0,1) random variables and transformations such as Box-Meuller [1] 5000 random samples of size 20 each from the four populations were obtained. From these samples the empirical c.d.f. of S² for each population was then constructed. This process was repeated seven times. For each selected value of S² the average of the seven values of the c.d.f. was used as the value of Monte Carlo c.d.f.. The following is a brief explanation of the method used to generate random samples for each population.

- (i) Uniform (0,1): Use of RANDU subroutine.
- (ii) Exponential (1): Obtain U = U(0,1) then $X = -2\log(U)$.
- (iii) Product normal: $X = Z_1 Z_2$ where Z_1 , i = 1, 2 are i.i.d. N(0,1). Obtain U_1 and U_2 using RANDU then compute $X = -\log(U_1) \sin(4 \pi U_2).$
- (iv) Double exponential (0,1): Obtain U = U(0,1) then X = log(2U) if U < .5, or X = -log[2(1-U)] otherwise.

A selection of the values of the empirical c.d.f. of S² of the samples from the four populations together with the errors of the three approximations appear in Table 3.

4. CONCLUSIONS

From the numerical studies described in the previous section the following conclusions are drawn. The abbreviations W-H, R-T, and Box connote the Wilson-Hilferty, the Roy and Tiku, and the Box approximations respectively.

- 1. From Table 1, corresponding to the mixture of two normal distributions differing in means only the following can be observed. (a) The three approximations are reasonable for small values of $|\mu_1 \mu_2|$ but their quality deteriorates as the value of $|\mu_1 \mu_2|$ increases. (b) As the value of p increases W-H improves and Box worsens. (c) W-H is substantially superior to Box and R-T when the value of $|\mu_1 \mu_2|$ is large; when the value of $|\mu_1 \mu_2|$ is small it is slightly inferior to R-T. Box is not better than W-H anywhere.
- 2. From Table 2, corresponding to the mixture of two normal distributions differing in variances only, the following can be observed. (a) All three approximations are reasonable over the range of parameters considered. (b) Box is superior to W-H and R-T when p is small and the ratio of variances is large. (c) R-T is superior to W-H and Box when p as well as the ratio of variances is small. (d) Otherwise W-H and Box are equally good.
- 3. The observations from Table 3 corresponding to the uniform, the exponential, the product normal, and the double exponential populations are as follows. (a) R-T is the poorest performing approximation, in general embarrassingly so. Clearly the improper estimates of the probabilities are due to truncation of the series after four terms. (b) W-H is the best of the three approximations. Its performance appears to be substantially superior in all four cases.
- 4. In summary, it is concluded that the Wilson-Hilferty approximation, derived in section 2, is a reasonable approximation over the spectrum of populations considered. In no case is W-W the the poorest of the three nor is it embarrassingly bettered by either of the other two approximations. When it is superior it is substantially so.

TABLE 1. Exact C.D.F. of S^2/σ^2 of Samples from pN(μ_1, σ^2) + (1-p)N(μ_2, σ^2) and Errors* of the Approximations δ^2 = 4 and N = 11.

E (1) (2) (3) (4) E (1) (2) (3) (4) E (1) (2) (3) (4) E	-				_																				
(1) (2) (3) (4) t (1) (2) (3) (4) (4) t (1) (2) (3) (4) t (1) (2) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4		(4)		7-	-	18	11	12	4	-7	-11	-7	1		-57	262	439	398	193	-47	-245	-378	-326	-235	
(1) (2) (3) (4) (t) (1) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2	4.	(3)		-59	64-	17	47	63	65	84	2	-11	-15		-194	-62	104	337	316						
(1) (2) (3) (4) t (1) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4	a d	(2)		-2	8-	-10	9-	7	4	7	4	7	7		7	09	78	22	-26	-59	-65	-24	-2-	==	
(1) (2) (3) (4) t (1) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4		3		628	243	950	113	163	143	391	985	409	822		485	127	845	166	257	481	550	017	431	689	
(1) (2) (3) (4) (1) (2) (3) (4) (1) (2) (3) (4) (1) (2) (3) (4) (4) (1) (2) (3) (4) (4) (1) (2) (3) (4) (4) (1) (2) (3) (4) (4) (1) (2) (3) (4) (4) (1) (2) (3) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4				•	•	•	•								•		•	•	•			•	•	•	
(1) (2) (3) (4) t (1) (2) (3) (4) t (1) (2) (3) (4) (2) (3) (4) (2) (3) (4) (2) (3) (4) (2) (3) (4) (2) (3) (4) (2) (3) (4) (2) (3) (4) (2) (3) (4) (2) (3) (4) (2) (3) (4) (2) (3) (4) (2) (3) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4	_			_	7		17	15	2	24	3	33	36		1 38	47	53	65	7	7	86	95	101	107	
(1) (2) (3) (4) t (1) (2) (3) (4) t (1) (2) .0903 15 25 -3 6 .0441 16 -40 -7 9 .0914 -7 .1999 -20 -4 1 8 .1086 -8 -53 -3 11 .1675 -16 .1999 -20 -4 1 8 .1086 -8 -53 -3 11 .1675 -16 .1999 -20 -4 1 8 .1086 -8 -53 -3 11 .1675 -16 .1999 -20 -4 1 6 .15339 -10 46 7 19 .5787 2 .2504 -21 -27 3 16 .5339 -10 46 7 19 .5787 2 .2504 -21 -27 3 18 .6348 4 56 1 21 .6708 8 .77785 14 1 -4 20 .7217 14 52 -5 24 .7835 10 .9865 -1 2 1 3 5 .9817 -1 -13 2 3 .9542 1 .9865 -1 2 1 3 5 .9817 -1 -13 2 3 .9542 1 .20 .9865 -1 2 1 3 5 .9817 -1 -13 2 3 .9542 1 .20 .9865 -1 2 1 3 5 .9817 -1 -13 2 3 .9542 1 .20 .9865 -1 2 1 3 5 .9817 -1 -13 2 3 .9542 1 .20 .9865 -1 2 1 3 5 .9817 -1 -13 2 3 .9542 1 .20 .9865 -1 2 1 3 5 .9817 -1 -13 2 3 .9542 1 .20 .9865 -1 2 1 3 5 .9817 -1 -13 2 3 .9542 1 .20 .9865 -1 2 1 .20 .986 -26 .36 .244 38 .1306 -11 -2 .2035 -513 -845 -609 23 .1209 -89 -366 244 38 .1306 -11 -2 .2035 -513 -845 -609 23 .1209 -89 -366 244 38 .1306 -11 -2 .2035 -513 -845 -609 23 .1209 -89 -366 .244 38 .1306 -11 .201		(4)		4-	4	15	12	9	7	8	-7	-3	7		-25	120	321	267	137	-25	-170	-283	-249	-101	
(1) (2) (3) (4) t (1) (2) (3) (4) t (1) (3 .0903 15 25 -3 6 .0441 16 -40 -7 9 .09141999 -20 -4 1 8 .1086 -8 -53 -3 11 .1675 -1 1999 -20 -4 1 8 .1086 -8 -53 -3 11 .1675 -1 .1999 -20 -4 1 4 .4228 -25 23 12 17 .4762 -29 6 14 .4228 -25 23 12 17 .4762 -29 6 14 .4228 -25 23 12 17 .4762 -29 6 14 .4228 -25 23 12 17 .4762 -29 6 14 .4228 -25 23 12 17 .4762 -29 6 14 .4228 -25 23 12 17 .4762 -29 6 14 .4228 -25 23 12 17 .4762 -29 6 14 .4228 -25 23 12 17 .4762 -29 6 17 .2917 12 0 29 .9857 -1 14 .52 -5 24 .7835 17 .98911 20 12 -3 18 .5349 17 .34 -8 13 .9942 -1 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	٤.	(3)		99-	74-	34	19	72	19	44	-3	-14	-16		-316	-161	420	526	498	369	195	-88	-151	-155	
(1) (2) (3) (4) t (1) (2) (3) (4) (2) (3) (4) (2) (3) (4) (2) (3) (4) (2) (3) (4) (2) (3) (3) (4) (4) (2) (4) (2) (4) (2) (4) (2) (4) (2) (4) (2) (4) (2) (4) (2) (4) (2) (4) (2) (4) (2) (4) (2) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4	l d	(2)		-1	-16	-13	5	7	80	10	2	7	-5		-26	-11	55	51	27	13	-26	-28	-16	3	
(1) (2) (3) (4) t (1) (2) (3) (4) (2) (3) (4) (2) (3) (4) (2) (3) (4) (2) (3) (4) (2) (3) (3) (4) (4) (2) (4) (2) (4) (2) (4) (2) (4) (2) (4) (2) (4) (2) (4) (2) (4) (2) (4) (2) (4) (2) (4) (2) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4		3	4	0914	1675	3683	4762	. 5787	8079	7835	.9197	9542	1986	01	9020	1306	3232	4284	2409	6510	7498	8925	9355	9804	
(1) (2) (3) (4) t (1) (2) (3) .0903 15 25 -3 6 .0441 16 -40 .1999 -20 -4 1 8 .1086 -8 -53 .3324 -42 -29 6 10 .1999 -29 -41 .4673 -39 -36 6 14 .4228 -25 23 .5904 -21 -27 3 16 .5339 -10 46 .6947 0 -12 -1 18 .6348 4 56 .7785 14 1 -4 20 .7217 14 52 .8911 20 12 -3 23 .8233 17 34 .9505 10 9 0 29 .9385 7 -3 .9865 -1 2 1 35 .9817 -1 -13 .0342 367 -94 -246 17 .0911 -181 -559 -366 .3090 -553 -581 524 29 .1903 -50 -108 .4721 104 472 457 41 .3582 5 403 .5759 158 544 -331 47 .4540 55 554 .6740 149 471 -649 53 .5527 89 581 - .6740 149 471 -649 53 .5527 89 581 - .7577 129 351 -515 65 .7378 74 338 - .8997 68 100 28 77 .8746 11 11 -96 - .9498 2 -64 166 83 .9200 -11 -96 -		٠	12 =	6	=	15	17	19				-			30	38	53	59	65	71	17	89	95	107	
(1) (2) (3) (4) t (1) (2) (3) (4) (2) (3) (4) (2) (3) (4) (2) (3) (4) (2) (3) (4) (2) (3) (4) (2) (3) (4) (2) (3) (4) (2) (3) (3) (4) (2) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3		(4)	"1 - 1	-7	-3	9	12	_	-	-5	8-	-3	7	1 - I	176	244	194	246	35	133	256	171	101	-34	
(1) (2) (3) (4) t (1) (2) .0903 15 25 -3 6 .0441 16 .1999 -20 -4 1 8 .1086 -8 .3324 -42 -29 6 10 .1999 -29 .4673 -39 -36 6 14 .4228 -25 .5904 -21 -27 3 16 .5339 -10 .6947 0 -12 -1 18 .6348 4 .7785 14 1 -4 20 .7217 14 .8911 20 12 -3 23 .8233 17 .9505 10 9 0 29 .9385 7 .9505 10 9 0 29 .9385 7 .9505 -1 2 1 2 1 35 .9817 -1 .0342 367 -94 -246 17 .0911 -181 .2035 -513 -845 -609 23 .1209 -89 .3090 -553 -581 524 29 .1903 -50 .4721 104 472 457 41 .3582 5 .5759 158 544 -331 47 .4540 55 .6740 149 471 -649 53 .5527 89 .7577 129 351 -515 65 .7378 74 .9498 2 -64 166 83 .9200 -11 .9498 2 -64 166 83 .9200 -11	2	3		-40	-53	-41	23	94	26	25	34	-3	-13		- 559 -	-366	-108	403	554						
(1) (2) (3) (4) t .0903 15 25 -3 6 .1999 -20 -4 1 8 .3324 -42 -29 6 10 .4673 -39 -36 6 14 .5904 -21 -27 3 16 .947 0 -12 -1 18 .7785 14 1 -4 20 .8911 20 12 -3 23 .9505 10 9 0 29 .9865 -1 2 1 35 .9865 -1 2 4 -246 .7789 -553 -581 524 .7721 104 472 457 .7759 158 544 -331 .6740 149 471 -649 53 .7577 129 351 -515 65 .9498 2 -64 166 83 .9697 -17 -98 129 89		(2)		16	8	-29	-25	-10	4	14	17	7	7							89	74	11	-11	-21	
(1) (2) (3) (4) .0903 15 25 -3 .1999 -20 -4 1 .3324 -42 -29 6 .4673 -39 -36 6 .5904 -21 -27 3 .6947 0 -12 -1 .7785 14 1 -4 .8911 20 12 -3 .9505 10 9 0 .9865 -1 2 1 .7785 -513 -845 -609 .3090 -553 -581 524 .4721 104 472 457 .5759 158 544 -331 .6740 149 471 -649 .7577 129 351 -515 .8458 68 100 28 .9498 2 -64 166 .9697 -17 -98 129		Ξ		0441	1086	1999	4228	5339	6348	7217	8233	9385	9817		0911	1209	1903	3582	4540	5527	7378	8746	9200	9216	
(1) (2) (3) .0903 15 25 .1999 -20 -4 .3324 -42 -29 .4673 -39 -36 .5904 -21 -27 .6947 0 -12 .7785 14 1 .8911 20 12 .9505 10 9 .9865 -1 2 .2035 -513 -8452035 -513 -8455759 158 5446740 149 4716740 149 4717577 129 3518969 -17 -98		4		9	œ	10	14	16.	18	20	23	29	35		17	23	29	41.	47	53	65		83	89	
(1) (2) (3) .0903 15 25 .1999 -20 -4 .3324 -42 -29 .4673 -39 -36 .5904 -21 -27 .6947 0 -12 .7785 14 1 .8911 20 12 .9505 10 9 .9865 -1 2 .0342 367 -94 .2035 -513 -845 .3090 -553 -581 .4721 104 472 .5759 158 544 .6740 149 471 .7577 129 351 .8797 68 100 .9498 2 -64 .9697 -17 -98		(4)			-3	-	9	9	س	7	4-	-3	0	-		246	609	524	457	331	649	515	28	991	129
(1) (2) .0903 15 .1999 -20 .3324 -42 .4673 -39 .5904 -21 .6947 0 .7785 14 .8911 20 .9505 10 .9505 10 .9865 -1 .2035 -513 .3090 -553 .4721 104 .5759 158 .6740 149 .7577 129 .8998 2	1	(3)		25	4-	-29	-36	-27	-12	7	12	6	7												
.0903 .1999 .3324 .4673 .3924 .6947 .7785 .9865 .9865 .9865 .9865 .3090 .4721 .5759 .6740 .7577 .8997		(2)		15	-20	-42	-39	-21	0	14	20	10	7		367					149	129	89	2	-17	
		ε		.0903	.1999	.3324	.4673	. 5904	.6947	.7785	.8911	.9505	.9865							.6740	7577	7678.	8656.	7696.	
		4										56	32												

*Error = (Approximate C.D.F. - Exact C.D.F.)x 10^4 . (1) Exact C.D.F. Pr($S^2/\sigma^2 \le t$), (3.2);

⁽²⁾ Error: Wilson-Hilferty Approximation (2.7); (3) Error: Box Approximation (1.1); (4) Error: Roy-Tiku Approximation (1.2).

TABLE 2. Exact C.D.F. of S of Samples from pN(μ_1, σ_1^2) + (1-p)N(μ_2, σ_2^2) and Errors* of the Approximations. $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 0, \quad \sigma_1^2 = 1$

			7 0 8 9 7	1011		6 3 0 6 7	24225
	(4)		-42 50 148 116 -14	-96 -32 21 26 26		26 26 130 -3 -106	-102 -35 -25 34 -2
80	(3)		17 1 -21 -24 -22	0 0 4 4 7 7		11 4 -8 -12 -8	1 3 5 0 1
.4, 02	(2)		-27 -39 -33	12 16 11 -2		8 -19 -13	10 10 4 11
- d	(1)		.1117 .1833 .3459 .4276 .5773	.7499 .8610 .9263 .9623		.0448 .1034 .2358 .4514	.6920 .7971 .8721 .9453
	t		2 2 3 10	13 16 19 22 28		8 10 13 17 20	22 28 28 33 40
	(4)		77777	0 1 0 0 1 0		01770	11101
2 = 2	(3)		44 41 2 -19 -41	-31 -7 6 9		27 14 -7 -25 -33	-33 -27 -12 0 8
.4. 02	(2)		-12 -13 -4	0 3 7 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1		-1 -2 -6 -2	0 2 4 7 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
d = d	(1)	11	.0616 1227 2925 3873 5673	.7714 .8906 .9512 .9793	20	. 0891 1789 2950 4233	.6077 .7122 .8290 .9051
	t t	N N	4 7 7 8 8	13 16 19 22 28	N = 2	11 13 17 19	20 22 25 28 33
	(4)		-9 11 17 4	-11 -7 0 3		3 10 11 6	-7 -9 -7 -1
∞ Ⅱ	(3)		79797	0000		77707	00111
.1, σ_2^2	(2)		-17 -17 -15 -4	10388		1 9 7 7 7	1 4 2 0 0
d d	(1)		.0643 .1247 .2910 .3842 .5630	.7691 .8910 .9527 .9807		.0900 .1783 .2926 .4197	.6044 .7091 .8289 .9064
	ħ		4 5 7 8 8	13 16 19 22 28		11 13 14 15 19	20 22 25 28 33
	(4)		40	00000		00100	01010
= 2	(3)		0 8 0 2 6	17771		75230	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
.1, o ²	(2)		-13 -12 -3	7 0 1 1		1-	0 3 4 3 1
- d	(1)		.0553 .1129 .2796 .3756	.8975 .9574 .9835		.0798 .1644 .2823 .4137	.6057 .7142 .8363 .9134
	ħ		4 2 7 8 8	13 16 19 22 28		11 13 15 17 19	20 22 25 28 33

Wilson-Hilferty Approximation (2.7); (3) Error: Box Approximation (1.1); (4) Error: Roy-Tiku Approximation *Error = (Approximate C.D.F. = Exact C.D.F.)x 10^4 . (1) Exact C.D.F. Pr($s^2 \le t$), (3.4); (2) Error:

TABLE 3. Monte Carlo C.D.F. of S² of Samples of Size 20 from Various Populations and Errors of the Approximations.

t	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	t	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
		Unifo	rm				Expon	ential	
1.1 1.2 1.3 1.5 1.6 1.7 1.8 2.0 2.1 2.2	.0703 .1252 .2009 .4085 .5282 .6396 .7410 .8896 .9335	15 10 18 31 13 36 32 -1 0	-86 -48 37 199 197 190 127 -23 -53 -69	101 206 285 228 82 -35 -154 -253 -209 -144	6 8 12 14 18 21 27 34 42 50	.0496 .1179 .3095 .4028 .5715 .6719 .8134 .9039 .9523 .9763	-284 -397 -314 -146 81 165 164 100 61 25	-268	1503 5715 5528 -4578 -18204 -9245 -11618 3049 -2479 -920
	Proc	iuct-N	ormal			Doul	ble E	xponen	tial
6 8 10 14 16	.0590 .1308 .2188 .4062 .4929	-320	-2	1732 6733 10824 -4713 -16790	15 19 27 31 35	.0546 .1234 .3144 .4194 .5192	-110 -120 -8 30 45	240 228 61 -56 -153	439 954 -160 -1473 -2145
21 27 34 42 50	.6705 .8085 .9006 .9498 .9755	104 121 67 50 15	-221 -128 -10	-11665 12884 3893 -2599 -1015	39 45 52 63 76	.6092 .7196 .8125 .9043 .9568	39 26 23 -6 -11	-219 -242 -187 -92 -5	-1796 -120 1344 807 -301

*Each C.D.F. is estimated on the basis of seven sets of 5000 samples.

** Error = (Approximate C.D.F. - Monte Carlo C.D.F.) x 10⁴.

⁽¹⁾ Monte Carlo C.D.F. Pr($S^2 \le t$), (see section 3b);

⁽²⁾ Error: Wilson-Hilferty Approximation (2.7); (3) Error: Box Approximation (1.2); (4) Error: Roy-Tiku Approximation (1.2).

APPENDIX

THE DISTRIBUTION OF SAMPLE VARIANCE FOR A SCALED MIXTURE OF NORMAL POPULATIONS

Let X_1 , X_2 , ..., X_n be i.i.d. random variables with probability density function (p.d.f.)

$$f(x) = pN(0,1) + (1-p)N(0,\sigma^2),$$
 (A.1)

 $0 \le p \le 1$ and $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ denotes the normal density function with mean μ and variance σ^2 . The corrected sum of squares may be expressed as a quadratic form in X's as,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})^2 = \underline{X} \underline{A} \underline{X}$$
 (A.2)

where $X' = (X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)$, $A = (I_n - n^{-1} J_n)$, and J_n is the n x n matrix of 1's. Using this representation it is easy to compute the characteristic function of X'AX as given in the following proposition.

Proposition: The characteristic function of $X \times X$ is given by,

$$\Psi(t) = \sum_{r=0}^{n} {n \choose r} p^{r} (1-p)^{n-r} |_{\tilde{L}} - 2it \underset{\sim}{A} \underset{\sim}{A}_{r}|^{-1/2},$$
 (A.3)

where Λ_r is a matrix

$$\Lambda_{r} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\mathbf{I}_{r}}{-\frac{\mathbf{I}$$

The p.d.f. of 3^2 can be obtained by inverting the above characteristic function. This may be done as follows,

Let $A A_r = B_r = B$ which is a symmetric matrix of order n. Now suppressing the suffix r, there exists a nonsingular matrix T, such that, $T^{-1}B T = \text{diag}(D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_k) = D$, $k = \text{number of distinct eigenvalues } \lambda_i \text{ of } B \text{ with respective multiplicity } n_i$, $D_i = \lambda_i I_{n_i}$, and $\sum_i n_i = n$. Thus,

$$|\mathbf{I} - 2it \mathbf{B}| = |\mathbf{T}^{-1}| |\mathbf{I} - 2it \mathbf{B}| |\mathbf{T}| = |\mathbf{I} - 2it \mathbf{D}| = \mathbf{I} (1 - 2it\lambda_1)^{n_1}.$$
(A.5)

Applying the inversion theorem to this characteristic function we find that,

$$|\underline{I} - 2it \underline{A} \underline{\Lambda}_{r}|^{-1/2} = \frac{k}{1} (1 - 2it \lambda_{i})^{-n_{i}/2},$$
 (A.6)

is the characteristic function of $Q_r = \sum \lambda_i Y_i$, where Y_i are independent $\chi_{n_i}^2$ variables. Hence,

$$P_r(S^2 \le t) = \sum_{r=0}^{n} {n \choose r} p^r (1-p)^{n-r} Pr(Q_r \le t).$$
 (A.7)

REFERENCES

- [1] Atkins, A.C. and Pearce, M.C., "The computer generation of beta, gamma and normal random variables", <u>Journal of the Royal Statistical Society</u>, A, 139(1976), Part 4, 431-61.
- [2] Box, G.E.P., "Nonnormality and tests on variances", Biometrika, 40 (1953), 318-35.
- [3] Hyrenius, J., "Distribution of student-Fisher's t in samples from compound normal functions", Biometrika, 37 (1950), 429-42.
- [4] Imhof, J.P., "Computing the distribution of quadratic forms in normal variables", Biometrika, 48 (1961), 419-26.
- [5] Jensen, D. R. and Solomon, Herbert, "A Gaussian approximation to the distribution of a definite quadratic form", <u>Journal of the American Statistical Association</u>, 67 (1972), 898-902.
- [6] Kendall, M.G. and Stuart, A., "The Advanced Theory of Statistics" Vol. I, (1958), Griffin, London.
- [7] Koerts, J. and Abrahamese, A.P.J., "On the Theory and Applications of the General Linear Model", (1969) Rotterdam University Press, Rotterdam.
- [8] Roy, J. and Tiku, M.L., "A Laguerre series approximation to the sampling distribution of the variance", Sankhya, 24 (1962), 181-4.
- [9] Sankaran, Munuswamy, "On the noncentral chisquare distribution", Biometrika, 59 (1972), 235-37.
- [10] Subrahmaniam, K., "On quadratic forms from mixtures of two normal populations", South African Statistical Journal, 6 (1972), 103-20.
- [11] Tan, W.Y. and Wong, S.P., "On the Roy-Tiku approximation of sample variances from nonnormal universes", <u>Journal of American Statistical Association</u>, 72 (1977), 875-80.
- [12] Wilson, Edwin B. and Hilferty Margaret M., "The distribution of chisquare", Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 17 (1931), 684-8.

